Review

Could oxidative stress influence the in-vitro maturation of oocytes?

Catherine Combelles received a PhD in Cell, Molecular, and Developmental Biology in 2002 from the Tufts University School of Medicine (Boston, USA). She completed her post-doctorate at Harvard Medical School, during which she also trained and worked as a senior embryologist in the Center for Reproductive Medicine at the Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston. In 2004, she joined the faculty at Middlebury College, a small liberal arts institution in Vermont where she teaches and conducts research with undergraduate students on the cellular and molecular determinants of oocyte quality. She has published book chapters and several articles in peer-reviewed journals.

Dr Catherine Combelles

Catherine MH Combelles¹,³, Sajal Gupta², Ashok Agarwal²
¹Biology Department, MBH 346, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT 05753 USA; ²Reproductive Research Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Desk A19.1, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA
³Correspondence: e-mail: ccombell@middlebury.edu

Abstract

In the efforts aimed at improving the quality of in-vitro-matured human oocytes, the dynamic balance and roles of pro-/antioxidants merit further consideration. In-vitro maturation (IVM) is emerging as a popular technology at the forefront of fertility treatment and preservation. However, standard in-vitro culture conditions exert oxidative stress or an imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are oxygen-derived molecules formed as intermediary products of cellular metabolism. By acting as powerful oxidants, ROS can oxidatively modify any molecule, resulting in structural and functional alterations. ROS are neutralized by an elaborate defense system consisting of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. This review captures the inherent and external factors that may modulate the oxidative stress status of oocytes. It discusses the suspected impacts of oxidative stress on the gamut of events associated with IVM, including prematuration arrest, meiotic progression, chromosomal segregation, cytoskeletal architecture and gene expression. In-vivo and in-vitro strategies that may overcome the potential influences of oxidative stress on oocyte IVM are presented. Future studies profiling the oxidative stress status of the oocyte may permit not only the formulation of a superior IVM medium that maintains an adequate pro-/antioxidant balance, but also the identification of predictors of oocyte quality.
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Introduction

Fertility preservation and infertility treatment have emerged at the forefront of reproductive health, thus introducing the concept of maturing immature oocytes in vitro that otherwise would have been lost in the physiological process (Chian et al., 2004a; Rao and Tan, 2005; Dal Canto et al., 2006; Jurema and Nogueira, 2006). Indeed, not all follicles respond to the effects of gonadotrophin stimulation with many oocytes never maturing and thus remaining in an immature state prior to their eventual demise. The retrieval of immature oocytes, together with their subsequent culture and maturation in vitro, not only eliminates the complications of stimulated cycles but also provides a source of invaluable and scarce oocytes. The in-vitro maturation (IVM) of oocytes is relevant to the fields of both infertility treatment and basic research. Multiple investigators have tapped the various aspects of the IVM process to determine the sequence of changes that take place in the oocyte as well as the factors that influence them. Over the years, the majority of research findings conclude that successful IVM necessitates not only nuclear but also cytoplasmic maturation (Fulka et al., 1998; Smith, 2001; Ali et al., 2006). In vivo, oocyte maturation is a complex process that is influenced by the interplay of regulatory factors (among which are gonadotrophins and a growing list of secreted molecules), interactions between the oocyte and cumulus cells, and the biochemical state of the oocyte (Canipari, 2000; Eppig, 2001; Tanghe et al., 2002; Combelles et al., 2004; Gilchrist et al., 2004). Much success has been achieved in terms of successful nuclear maturation in vitro but the cytoplasmic maturation lags behind, thereby reflecting an asynchrony between the two
processes (Smith, 2001; Trounson et al., 2001; Ali et al., 2006). In an effort to simulate the in-vivo conditions that prevail in the pre-ovulatory antral follicle, a plethora of human studies have tested different formulations of culture media; to date, varied (and overall disappointing) results have been obtained in terms of human oocyte maturation, fertilization and pregnancy outcomes post IVM (Smitz et al., 2001; Chian et al., 2004b; Mikkelson, 2005).

In spite of the advantages of no ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, relative ease of treatment and low cost, various challenges hinder the selection of oocyte IVM as an established mode of assisted reproduction. It is evident that the quality of in-vitro-matured oocytes is suboptimal since embryos derived from them display reduced fertilization and pregnancy rates (Smitz et al., 2001; Chian et al., 2004b; Mikkelson, 2005). A reason for this consistent low performance of IVM may be the significantly reduced rates of fertilization and pregnancy observed when compared to conventional IVF treatment (Smitz et al., 2001; Trounson et al., 2001; Ali et al., 2006). A possible explanation for this could be the differing culture conditions between in-vitro and in-vivo environments. While some ovarian priming is currently employed during IVM cycles, it is very minimal (Jurema and Nogueira, 2006). Thus, in comparison to conventional IVF for which ovarian stimulation is a routine protocol with a concerning risk for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (Aboughar and Mansour, 2003; Fauser and Devroey, 2003), negative side effects are inherently reduced during IVM. Furthermore, IVM patients benefit in terms of health, time and energy, all of which are significant issues faced by routine IVF programmes (Chian et al., 2004a; Papanikolaou et al., 2005; Jurema and Nogueira, 2006). As IVM of immature oocytes represents a safer, cheaper and comparatively simpler procedure than conventional techniques, it promises to be one of the most practiced techniques in the future if successful.

With respect to potential reasons for the continued poor outcomes of IVM, this review highlights the suspected impact of OS on the oocyte maturation process in vitro. More precisely, literature is presented on investigations between OS and various markers of OS including antioxidant protection. The suggested functions of a pro- and antioxidant balance during IVM is also reviewed, and major inconsistencies and gaps in the knowledge will be presented, together highlighting the need for further studies into not only the roles but also the regulation of OS during IVM. With an increasing body of evidence on all factors likely to influence oocyte maturation, it may then be possible to develop culture systems optimal for IVM. The focus of this review will be placed predominantly on in-vitro studies, and in-vivo findings will be presented whenever directly pertinent to current understanding and optimization of oocyte IVM. Given the limited number of studies and/or conclusive findings in oocytes, this study will, at times, present any relevant information from the oocyte’s next of kin, that is the spermatozoon or preimplantation embryo. Invaluable insights may then be gained and promising avenues of research opened onto the potential importance of OS during IVM.

Rationale for IVM as a next popular procedure for assisted reproduction

IVM constitutes the in-vitro advancement of an oocyte from the diplotene stage of prophase I (germinal vesicle, or GV) to metaphase II (MII), along with cytoplasmic maturation that encompasses a broad set of still ill-defined cellular events, all of which are essential for the fertilization and early development of the embryo (Smitz et al., 2001; Trounson et al., 2001; Ali et al., 2006). Arising from a growing pool of antral follicles, a number of oocytes are typically retrieved and available for IVM treatment. In the ovary, many follicles become recruited during the development of any given cohort, but, after the normal selection of the dominant follicle, the rest of the growing follicles undergo atresia and become lost permanently unless retrieved for IVM (Carrell et al., 2003). IVM has many benefits over conventional assisted reproduction techniques. Despite its significant advantages, IVM remains an experimental procedure. The main challenge resides in the relatively low reproductive outcomes associated with IVM (Jurema and Nogueira, 2006). Recent reports document improved fertility outcomes after IVM, with live birth rates of 30% in donor oocyte programmes and a clinical pregnancy rate of 21.9% in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) for whom IVM proves to be an important treatment strategy (Cha et al., 2005; Holzer et al., 2007). A few groups have begun offering IVM as a treatment option to a highly selected group of patients; nonetheless, IVM is far from representing a widely available and well-accepted technology. Technically, hurdles still complicate the routine retrieval of immature oocytes although recent advances have significantly optimized its timing and protocols (Mikkelson, 2005; Papanikolaou et al., 2005; Jurema and Nogueira, 2006). Biologically, IVM is limited by key deficiencies at the level of the oocyte and its adequate development in vitro. On one hand, oocytes vary significantly in their quality or competence to support development to term, and on the other hand, there is insufficient laboratory ability to foster such competency. Both of these phenomena highlight the current and dire need to improve understanding of oocyte development. Then and only then will techniques be developed to select, isolate, handle and support the development of immature oocytes in vitro. A growing number of reports, largely in animal models, point towards biological differences between oocytes matured in vitro and in vivo, whether at the level of gene expression patterns, spindle architecture or metabolism (Kjinj et al., 2002; Lonergan et al., 2003a; Sanfins et al., 2003, 2004; Sutton et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006). Multiple factors or deficiencies likely contribute to the overall poor quality of in-vitro-matured oocytes and, as presented in this review, one additional culprit may be oxidative stress. Overall, IVM is a rather understudied area of basic research and protocol development, particularly when compared with the remarkable strides made in the realms of IVF and in-vitro.
A reactive oxygen species (ROS) is an entity that is generated during various cellular metabolic reactions as well as indirectly from the cell’s surroundings. It comprises mainly superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals (Agarwal et al., 2005). A superoxide anion is formed when molecular oxygen acquires an additional electron. Hydrogen peroxide arises in various metabolic reactions catalysed by oxidases or superoxide dismutase (from superoxide), and it also further generates hydroperoxy and hydroxyl radicals. Free radicals and peroxides are highly reactive and they may cause widespread injury to biomolecules (Yagi, 1993). Conversely, ROS can be beneficial to somatic cell functions (cell proliferation, differentiation, for example), and the redox state of cells regulates signalling pathways (Kamata and Hirata, 1999; Droge, 2002; Poli et al., 2004).

Under optimal conditions, the balance between ROS and antioxidants is maintained. However, increased generation of ROS overwhelms the antioxidant capacity of the system thus leading to OS. There is an exhaustive set of co-operating antioxidants (both enzymatic and non-enzymatic) that modulate the concentrations of pro-oxidants present in the cell (Brigelius-Flohe, 1999; Chelikani et al., 2004; Johnson and Guilivi, 2005; Lubera, 2005). Beyond superoxide dismutase (SOD), which catalyses the dismutation of superoxide into hydrogen peroxide and oxygen (Johnson and Guilivi, 2005), is a next line of defence that further neutralizes hydrogen peroxide into harmless components. Catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) are two central enzymes capable of converting hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen (Brigelius-Flohe, 1999; Chelikani et al., 2004). Also extensively used as a biomarker of OS is the ratio between the oxidized form and reduced form (GSH) of glutathione. GSH functions in many cellular pathways including protection against oxidative damage (Lubera, 2005). It is not the intent of this review to introduce all other examples of known antioxidants; instead, the ones for which data exist with respect to IVM will be discussed below and in the context of their analyses.

Evidently, much attention has been placed on OS during embryo culture (Guerin et al., 2001) and, while much can be learnt from such knowledge, it is essential that oxidative damage be evaluated specifically in the human oocyte. The microenvironment within which the oocyte develops, that is the antral follicle, also warrants consideration. Notably, several studies have focused on human follicular fluid, a sample that is readily available and that represents the liquid milieu bathing and influencing the developing oocytes.

Some experimental evidence supports a link between pro-oxidants in the follicular fluid and predictors of oocyte quality. Indeed, one study showed a positive correlation between elevated ROS concentrations and pregnancy rates (Attaran et al., 2000). Similarly, another marker of OS, lipid peroxidation, was correlated with pregnancy rates, while no significant relationships were detected between lipid peroxidation and oocyte maturity, fertilization and embryo quality (Pasqualotto et al., 2004). Based on the oxidation properties of follicular fluid, a threshold of OS may be related to the number of mature oocytes retrieved and subsequent cleavage rates (Wiener-Megnazi et al., 2004). In a recent study, Das et al. (2006) reported high ROS follicular fluid concentrations that were correlated with poor oocyte quality and subsequent diminished embryo formation. Concentrations of three OS biomarkers (conjugated dienes, lipid hydroperoxide and thiobarbituric acid) were also determined in follicular fluid from human pre-ovulatory follicles (Jozwik et al., 1999). There was no evident relationship between pro-oxidant concentrations in follicular fluid and pregnancy rates (Jozwik et al., 1999). Clinically, 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine, an important OS marker in granulosa cells, displayed a negative correlation with embryo quality following IVF (Seino et al., 2002). Concentrations of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine in individual follicular fluid samples were significantly elevated when an increased proportion of oocytes exhibited aberrant morphological features (Tamura et al., 2008). Thus, much uncertainty resides as to the exact predictive value of pro-oxidants (either in follicular fluid or granulosa cells) for pregnancy outcome, and even less is settled with respect to oocyte quality. Interestingly, several studies propose that the concentrations of ROS in follicular fluid may represent natural ranges of pro-oxidants that would be necessary for the normal development of the oocyte. A physiological amount of ROS may be indicative of healthy developing oocytes, whereas excessively high concentrations may denote a state of OS and compromised IVF outcomes (Attaran et al., 2000; Agarwal et al., 2003; Pasqualotto et al., 2004; Wiener-Megnazi et al., 2004). However, future work is necessary in order to support such a proposal.

Follicular fluid contains not only ROS but also antioxidants, with the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) itself having been investigated as a predictive marker of successful IVF (Pasqualotto et al., 2004). The beneficial effect of follicular fluid against oxidative damage may be in part due to an elevated activity of SOD isoenzymes, which act as important scavengers of free radicals; notably, a study in pig proposed a relationship between increased SOD activity in follicular fluid and an enhanced cytoplasmic maturation of the oocyte (Tatemoto et al., 2004). In contradiction, Sabatini et al. (1999) documented that significantly higher SOD activities were found in human follicular fluid samples associated with oocytes that failed to become fertilized. However, also in human but for a different antioxidant enzyme, certain physiological concentrations of selenium-dependent glutathione peroxidase activity in follicular fluid were positively correlated with fertilization rates (Paszkowski et al., 1995). The non-enzymatic antioxidant L-ascorbate was measured in human follicular fluid, but without any association with oocyte maturity as assessed solely by the presence or absence of a polar body (Paszkowski and Clarke, 1999). There was also no relationship between fertilization rates and follicular-fluid concentrations of the antioxidants carotenoids and α-tocopherol (Schweigert et al., 2005).
Interestingly, TAC concentrations detected in human follicular fluids were significantly increased with fertilization but significantly reduced with embryo viability (Oyawoye et al., 2003). Taken together, studies on follicular fluid pro- and antioxidants do not yet portray an exact and concordant understanding of the potential influences of OS status on oocyte quality. It is important to note that there are several issues with respect to follicular fluid analyses conducted to date; these include the pooling of samples, sources of contamination, varied sample analyses and outcome measures, and confounding variables such as patient diagnosis.

Yet the presence within the follicle of both pro- and antioxidants is relevant to IVM because one approach may be, for example, to model culture media on the natural antioxidant composition of follicular fluid (Sabatini et al., 1999; Kodaman and Behrman, 2001). However, simply reproducing in vitro the exact physiological ratios of antioxidants may represent a rather oversimplified solution. Indeed, the oxidative stresses faced by the maturing oocyte are different in vitro than in the Graafian follicle. The intrafollicular environment also diverges significantly from current IVM conditions, since one must consider the superimposed metabolic and secretory activities of granulosa cells in the follicle as well as the dynamic microenvironment directly surrounding the follicle (e.g. vasculature). In vivo, the large amounts of free radicals generated during cell metabolism are likely to be largely taken care of by the built-in antioxidant defences of the follicle. In vitro, it may then be the antioxidant compounds, with which IVM culture media are supplemented, that play the role of free radical scavengers. The next two sections now focus on potential influences (both intrinsic and extrinsic) on the OS status of oocytes not only at the start but also during IVM (Figure 1).

**Intrinsic factors influencing the oxidative stress status of oocytes**

**Oocyte stores**

How oxidatively challenged the cultured oocyte is depends on its own properties to cope with IVM-associated OS. Several studies have also examined the expression of various antioxidants through the culture period in mammalian oocytes and cumulus–oocyte–complexes (COC). Indeed, it is as important to define the antioxidant defences that COC begin with as it is to establish the use and potential regulation of built-in antioxidants during and post IVM. Consideration should also be given as to whether antioxidants become prematurely replenished and/or depleted during IVM. For the embryo to acquire developmental potential, it may also be important that antioxidants are stored in the oocyte (as mRNA transcripts or proteins) during its growth and maturation phases. It is thus imperative to define the antioxidant stores of cultured oocytes, not only in relation to the maturation process but also from the early stages of embryonic development through to the activation of the zygotic genome.

As aerobic cells generate ROS as a result of normal cellular metabolism, it is not surprising that oocytes would express and rely upon several antioxidant systems. These include GSH and the classical enzymatic antioxidants, among which are SOD, CAT and GPx. Importantly, there is a precedent in somatic cells for regulation of these antioxidants at both the pre-translational (Forsberg et al., 1996) and post-translational levels (Brown et al., 2004; Rhee et al., 2005); therefore, studies must consider all potential levels of expression. This is particularly relevant for maturing oocytes in which post-transcriptional and translational modes of regulation are prevalent (Oh et al., 2000; Eichenlaub-Ritter and Peschke, 2002; De La Fuente, 2006). At this point and as outlined below, additional studies are still needed in order to close the current gaps and settle inconsistencies in oocyte expression patterns, for all antioxidant molecules and across levels of expression (mRNA, protein and enzymatic activity).

Transcripts encoding GPx, Cu/Zn-SOD, Mn-SOD and γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (another protective enzyme that is central to GSH synthesis) have been shown to be present not only in mature MII mouse oocytes but also in failed-to-fertilize human MII oocytes (El Mouatassim et al., 1999). All four transcripts were detected in immature mouse oocytes, but ones for GPx and Mn-SOD were not in immature human oocytes. El Mouatassim et al. (1999) further documented their developmental regulation via polyadenylation of the two transcripts during human oocyte maturation. In cattle, Leguerrre et al. (2001) also reported transcripts for Mn-SOD and Cu/Zn-SOD in immature and in-vitro-matured oocytes. Interestingly,

![Figure 1. Factors that may influence the oxidative stress status (OSS) of oocytes (a) prior to in-vitro maturation (IVM) and (b) upon IVM. Further experimentation is needed to buttress any direct or indirect relationships between OS and each of the parameters; nonetheless, all of these parameters merit consideration in studies aimed at optimizing culture conditions for IVM and the development of good-quality oocytes.](image-url)
transcripts for catalase were not detected in either GV or MII stages of mouse and human oocytes (El Mouatassim et al., 1999). This is in contrast to Harvey et al. (1995) who reported catalase mRNA in mouse and cow fertilized eggs, and CAT (along with SOD and GPx) enzymatic activity in both immature and in-vitro-matured bovine oocytes (Cetica et al., 2001). Additional variances exist in the antioxidant expression of oocytes, with one other instance being the absence of detectable GPx transcripts in equine oocytes (Harvey et al., 1995; El Mouatassim et al., 1999; Luciano et al., 2006). Caution must thus be taken whenever extrapolating findings on the oocyte stores of antioxidants across species. Beyond analyses of different developmental stages and species, variations in the sensitivity and detection procedures may underlie the equivocal expression patterns reported to date.

The presence of antioxidant enzymes across different mammalian species suggests that defence mechanisms are conserved and are likely to be important for the final steps of oocyte maturation. Furthermore, comparing in-vitro-matured oocytes from slaughterhouse bovine ovaries with those matured in vivo, the transcript abundance for three antioxidant genes (Mn-SOD, Cu/Zn-SOD, and sarcosine oxidase or SOX) was altered significantly (Loneragan et al., 2003b). In-vitro-matured oocytes expressed Cu/Zn-SOD, Mn-SOD and SOX transcripts at higher levels than in-vivo-matured oocytes, and this may signify an induction of transcripts in order to protect the oocyte from oxidative damage. Alternatively, these findings may denote an inappropriate degradation of maternal mRNA prior to eventual activation of the embryonic genome. Interestingly, mitochondrial Mn-SOD mRNA was expressed at higher levels in oocytes derived from small follicles of slaughterhouse ovaries (both before and after IVM) when compared with oocytes recovered at the LH surge and matured in vitro or with their in-vivo-matured counterparts (Loneragan et al., 2003b). Together, this comparison suggests differences in antioxidant expression (notably Mn-SOD) for oocytes that are known to vary in developmental competence. In this vein, it remains to be established whether immature oocytes differ in their antioxidant stores with respect to their species, follicular origins and developmental state.

It is interesting to note that denuded bovine oocytes possessed particularly high levels of SOD activity relative to CAT and GPx (Cetica et al., 2001), thereby suggesting the potentially prevalent roles of SOD. However, with little attention placed on the other antioxidant players in the pathways, future efforts should thus define which antioxidants are most pertinent to oocyte maturation. In this vein, Luciano et al. (2006) demonstrated that, during IVM, GSH increases in the equine oocyte but the GSH content of oocytes does not correlate with their later developmental competence. Consequently, OS factors may not play a pivotal role during oocyte maturation or, alternatively, there may be OS factors other than glutathione that impact the quality of an oocyte (Luciano et al., 2006). Clearly, the multitude of molecules with protective roles against free radicals must be considered in the oocyte as well as in any of the intrafollicular compartments whenever pertinent to oocyte development. Notably the oocyte is not alone in its developmental journey that is even during ex-vivo culture, a time when cumulus cells cannot be ignored.

### Contribution of cumulus cells

Tanghe et al. (2003) investigated the contribution of ROS from bovine cumulus cells and reported that, upon incubation with spermatozoa, significantly greater concentrations of ROS were present in conditioned medium of cumulus-enclosed oocytes than in that of cumulus-denuded oocytes. Interestingly, the COC generated higher redox concentrations, which enhanced sperm penetration. While this finding is most pertinent to IVF, Tatemoto et al. (2000) reported that the cumulus cells play a role in protecting porcine oocytes from OS-induced apoptosis. In their study, COC and denuded oocytes were cultured for 44 hrs under the experimental influence of the hypoxanthine-xanthine oxidase (XOD) system. As a result, denuded oocytes showed greater DNA nuclear damage and apoptotic degeneration due to ROS production when compared with COC, which displayed minimal alterations in response to OS. Concomitant with this protective effect of cumulus cells during IVM, there was a significant increase in GSH concentrations present in cultured COC when compared with freshly isolated oocytes. In addition, the GSH content of denuded oocytes was markedly reduced, irrespective of the presence or absence of the XOD system (Tatemoto et al., 2000). A study performed on in-vitro-matured equine oocytes by Luciano et al. (2006) investigated the beneficial effect of reduced OS (by cysteamine addition) on the GSH content of the oocyte, early embryonic development and GPx mRNA concentrations in the COC. The addition of cysteamine did not affect GSH content in in-vitro- or in-vitro-matured oocytes but, interestingly, cumulus cells expressed GPx mRNA at greater levels in both in vivo- and in-vitro-matured oocytes when compared with the absence of cysteamine. Due to their regulated expression of GPx mRNA, a protective role can thus be inferred for cumulus cells (Luciano et al., 2006). This study further demonstrated that GPx mRNA is detectable in cumulus cells but not in oocytes (either immature or mature) of the horse. The possibility of compartmentalization between the oocyte and its surrounding somatic cells is an interesting one, particularly in light of the complementary expression patterns previously described for CAT and GPx in seminal plasma and the somatic cells that support the development of male germ cells (Lapointe et al., 1998; Yeung et al., 1998; Zini et al., 2002). Cetica et al. (2001) monitored SOD, CAT and GPx enzymatic activities in not only bovine COC but also cumulus cells alone. When compared with denuded oocytes, cumulus cells displayed relatively high degrees of antioxidant activities at the start of culture, which diminished after IVM (Cetica et al., 2001). Concomitant to this decrease in the cumulus cells, activity levels rose in oocytes matured in vitro, precisely illustrating a potential co-operation in antioxidant protection between the oocyte and its surrounding cumulus cells.

Peroxiredoxins (PRDX), a group of antioxidant enzymes comprised of six isoforms in mammals, are also expressed in bovine oocytes from the time of maturation through to the early embryonic development in vitro (Leyens et al., 2004a). An up-regulated expression of PRDX6 transcript and protein was found post IVM in both oocytes and cumulus cells (Leyens et al., 2004a,b). Interestingly, the up-regulation of PRDX6 in oocytes necessitated physical contact with cumulus cells, while this was not the case for the increase in PRDX6 in cumulus cells that actually depended on oocyte-derived secreted factors (Leyens et al., 2004b). PRDX may not only prevent oxidative damage but also modulate further the maturation process.
of the COC via paracrine signalling pathways central to cumulus expansion. This is based on the increase in PRDX6 transcripts in bovine cumulus cells upon exposure in vitro to the growth-differentiation factor GDF-9, a known enabling factor for cumulus expansion (Leyens et al., 2004b). Other PRDX types may also influence oocyte quality. Mourtou et al. (2006) showed a positive correlation between concentrations of PRDX1 transcripts in bovine oocytes and their developmental competence when based on their origins from different follicle sizes. However PRDX1–PRDX5 remain to be investigated in cumulus cells.

Taken together, the aforementioned studies indicate that not only antioxidant defences fluctuate during IVM but also there is an apparent need to consider the two cellular compartments of the COC. Given the well-documented and vital roles of cumulus cells in oocyte development, oocyte maturation and the acquisition of developmental competence (Eppig, 2001; Tanghe et al., 2002; Gilchrist et al., 2004; Gilchrist and Thompson, 2007), an involvement of both cell types in modulating the OS status of the COC is not the least surprising. A growing body of evidence supports the existence and importance of bidirectional influences between the oocyte and cumulus cells. In this vein, much work demonstrates the essential roles of secreted factors and direct cell–cell interactions, among which are members of the transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) family, insulin-like growth factors and gap junctional communication (Gilchrist et al., 2004; Knight and Glister, 2006). Nutritional influences constitute another mechanism by which a COC functions as an interactive and dynamic 2-cell compartment (Russell and Robker, 2007; Thompson et al., 2007). In addition, and also related to metabolic demands, cumulus cells may protect the oocyte by providing antioxidants and/or regulating their utilization during IVM. Direct evidence is undoubtedly needed in order to buttress such possibilities.

In-vivo influences: from lifestyle factors, dietary supplements, age, to medical history

Beyond the built-in antioxidant stores present in and utilized during IVM by oocytes and cumulus cells, factors that may influence the OS status of the COC in the body are also relevant. Lifestyle factors cannot be ignored as they may further confound the heterogeneous quality of oocytes used in IVF cycles. Cigarette smoking generally results in enhanced generation of superoxide anions with ensued oxidative stress leading to, for instance, vascular injury (Rahman and Lafer, 2007). In human follicular fluid, smoking is associated with a depletion of the antioxidant beta-carotene (Tiboni et al., 2004). With a reported link between smoking and adverse fertility outcomes (Younglai et al., 2005), negative influences of cigarette smoke on the OS status of oocytes merit consideration. DNA damage is increased in human cumulus cells exposed in vitro to the toxic effects of smoke under either basal or induced conditions of OS (Sinko et al., 2005). It is thus possible that the protective capacity of cumulus cells becomes compromised in patients who smoke. Also relevant is a reported imbalance of pro-/antioxidants in follicular fluid from smokers, notably elevated lipid peroxidation and diminished total antioxidant capacity levels; therefore, the in-vivo developmental milieu of an oocyte from a smoker appears oxidatively altered when compared with a non-smoker (Paszkowski et al., 2002). Based on this early evidence, smokers would represent poor candidates for clinical IVM. However, if such patients were to be treated with IVM, tailored culture conditions could be employed and aimed at directly targeting some of the inherent deficiencies in the pro-/antioxidant balances of the resulting oocytes.

Exposure to environmental contaminants, such as endocrine disruptors, are increasingly implicated in cases when reproductive performance becomes compromised, either in the infertile or the general population (Giudice, 2006; Homan et al., 2007). Given the ability of steroids to regulate antioxidant expression (as discussed below), it is conceivable that endocrine disruptors may influence ovarian function and oocyte quality via modulation of the OS status of these systems. Of relevance are previous reports on the ability of bisphenol A (an oestrogen mimic known to impact oocytes) to induce OS in multiple organs, including the testis (Kabuto et al., 2004; Ooe et al., 2005). Several metals, such as iron, copper, chromium, cobalt, nickel and cadmium, can lead to the generation of free radicals (Valko et al., 2005) and, with some of these metals possibly being associated with female reproductive health (Agarwal and Allamaneni, 2004), any potential links among metals, OS and oocyte maturation merit investigation. Lastly, Kovacic and Jacinthiso (2001) also reviewed emerging evidence for OS often underlying the detrimental influences of toxins on reproductive function. Taken together, future studies should consider OS as one of the modes of action by which environmental exposures may compromise the quality of oocytes and, in turn, their successful use for IVM. Similarly, a continued evaluation of other lifestyle influences (such as weight, nutrition and stress) should be undertaken.

Mounting evidence supports links between nutrition and oocyte quality (Boland et al., 2001; Fouladi-Nashta et al., 2007), and diets rich and/or supplemented with antioxidants deserve consideration in the context of OS and oocyte maturation. It remains controversial as to whether dietary supplementation with vitamins may affect reproductive functions via OS. In female mice, some scientific evidence points towards the positive effects of alpha-tocopherol (a lipid peroxyl-radical scavenger and chain-breaking antioxidant) in attenuating age-induced abnormal chromosomal alignments and segregation of MII spindles (Tarin et al., 1998, 2002a). However, in-vivo supplementation with vitamins that possess antioxidant activity do not all prove beneficial; for instance, Tarin et al. (2002b) demonstrated that oral intakes of vitamins C and E diminished ovarian function and, in turn, oocyte quality. Additional studies must be conducted so that safe and beneficial doses of antioxidant supplements may be recommended for human use (Rodrigo et al., 2007). Given that pro-oxidants (when present in controlled amounts) do play important roles in the body (Kamata and Hirata, 1999; Droge, 2002; Poli et al., 2004), a balance must be attained and antioxidant therapy must not lead into any risk of neutralizing ROS to a detrimental extent.

Maternal age is also pertinent to any studies of OS and oocyte maturation. The ‘free radical theory of ageing’ was put forth to explain some of the deficiencies in oocyte and embryo quality, known to prevail with advanced maternal age (Tarin, 1995, 1996). This theory proposes that oxidative damage accumulates in female gametes in part due to the extended number of years that arrested oocytes in resting ovarian
folllicles remain metabolically active. In addition, a decline in oocyte quality may be exacerbated further due to diminished antioxidant expression, such as SOD (both Cu/Zn and Mn isoforms) and catalase in granulosa cells from women of advanced age (Tatone et al., 2006). A gene microarray analysis also revealed lower concentrations of gene products associated with OS (notably Cu/Zn-SOD and thioredoxin) in immature oocytes from older when compared with younger mice (Hamatani et al., 2004). Tarin et al. (2004) documented diminished amounts of both glutathione S-transferases and thiols (GSH) in oocytes from old mice. Further experiments should test whether modifications in antioxidant stores exist between young and aged oocytes from other mammalian species, notably ones actually subject to significant declines in oocyte quality with age.

Some instances point towards potential relationships between medical status and OS in both the ovary and the early embryo, and thus conceivably during oocyte maturation. For instance, Forsberg et al. (1996) related diabetes to modified antioxidant expression patterns in mouse embryos. Together with others, their findings indicate that elevated OS may underlie the etiology of embryonic teratogenesis in diabetic individuals (Ornay, 2007). Using animal models, links have been documented between high glucose (as relevant to maternal diabetes) and induced OS (Pampfer, 2000) and compromised embryo quality (Leunda-Casi et al., 2002). More directly relevant to oocyte development is a report link between OS and PCOS. Elevated concentrations of oxidized proteins were detected in sera of women with PCOS as compared with controls (Palacio et al., 2006). Sabuncu et al. (2001) and Fencki et al. (2003) also demonstrated augmented OS in PCOS patients. Furthermore, ROS production is increased in mononuclear cells in lean and obese PCOS women, and it has been proposed that ROS-induced OS may be responsible for insulin resistance and hyperandrogenism in these patients (Yilmaz et al., 2005; Gonzalez et al., 2006; Yilmaz et al., 2005) also showed significantly lower antioxidant status and raised malonyl-dialdehyde concentrations in lean PCOS when compared with controls. The concentrations of these OS biomarkers reversed when patients were treated with rosiglitazone, a drug regulating glucose metabolism and known to improve ovariatory function in PCOS patients. Mechanistically, Dinger et al. (2005) showed an increased susceptibility of DNA to OS-induced damage in women with PCOS. Taken together, OS is implicated in the etiology of PCOS, and because PCOS women are treated with IVM, any superimposed influences of OS on oocyte quality and the maturation process will need to be analysed directly in this patient population.

There are, thus, a plethora of factors that can govern the OS status of oocytes (Figure 1A), and the gamut of them ought to be considered in studies on oocyte quality and IVM. While this section focused on OS-related factors intrinsic to the oocyte (that is prior to the start of IVM), external sources of pro-/antioxidant imbalances must also be considered during the culture period (Figure 1B).

Extrinsic influences impacting the oxidative stress status of oocytes during IVM

Simulating the physiological environment for oocyte maturation in vitro represents one of the greatest challenges in the field of IVM. There are many difficulties encountered when designing a suitable media, among which are the complex and yet not completely defined metabolic needs of the developing human oocyte. There are also considerable variations in substrate demands across the different animal species that are the typical subjects of IVM studies (Krisher et al., 2007), and a myriad of constituents significantly influence the in-vivo growth of the follicle and, in turn, the oocyte. It is thus imperative to assess whether any of these factors, singly or in combination, affect oocyte maturation through OS.

IVM supplements and conditions that may indirectly target the oxidative stress status of oocytes

General supplementation strategies

In vitro, some IVM media formulations have been supplemented with oestradiol (typically employed at a 1 µg/ml concentration); however, such a use is not based on any empirical evidence since systematic and optimization studies have failed to establish an unequivocal need for oestradiol supplementation during IVM. Although the precise roles of oestrogen during oocyte maturation remain unsettled, it undeniably influences follicle development and its role in ovulation is central (Kolibianakis et al., 2005). In such capacity, it remains conceivable that oestrogen influences oocyte quality in yet undefined ways. Interestingly, oestradiol can act as an antioxidant to help minimize the deleterious effects of free radicals in several tissue types, including those of the cardiovascular, neural and ovarian systems (Murdoch, 1998; Nathan and Chaudhuri, 1998; Lund et al., 1999; Behl and Pandey, 2002; Thibodeau et al., 2002; Strehlow et al., 2003). In vitro, oestrogens possess antioxidant properties through their inhibition of 8-hydroxylation of DNA guanine bases (Markides et al., 1998). However, oestrogen also possesses pro-oxidant properties, and whether the steroid acts as an anti- or pro-oxidant depends on its concentration and structure. In high concentrations, oestrogen metabolites tend to produce antioxidant effects, whereas, at low concentrations, pro-oxidant activities prevail. Furthermore, if the oestrogen metabolite possesses a catechol structure such as 2- or 4-hydroxy-oestradiol, then a pro-oxidant activity will most likely arise (Markides et al., 1998). One may propose then that the dual roles of oestrogen in modulating OS may undermine the controversial effects of oestrogens on oocyte development, with perhaps threshold concentrations of oestrogens shifting the OS balance towards detrimental consequences. Further work should define the influences of oestrogen on oocyte maturation in vivo and in vitro, and previous studies suggest the potential need to pay particular attention to balances of pro- and antioxidants whenever oestrogen concentrations vary.

Another important constituent that may alter developmental responses in the oocyte is the presence or absence of serum
in the culture medium. Serum acts as a protein provider, supplies nutrition to the COC and prevents zona hardening in order to support the continued development of the oocyte (van de Sandt et al., 1990; George and Johnson, 1993). There is still no consensus as to the positive or negative influences on the maturing oocyte of each type of serum supplementation (whether fetal cord serum, fetal bovine serum, maternal serum or synthetic serum substitutes). Furthermore, different sera contain different proteins that may interact with each other or additional media constituents. Mechanistically, serum constituents can have varied effects on the function of cultured cells, and one influence of serum supplementation may be linked to its innate ability to scavenge metal ions that themselves generate free radicals in the medium. As proposed from earlier studies on cultured embryos, serum also contains various growth factors, macronutrients and other constituents that may directly or indirectly neutralize ROS generated in the culture environment (Esfandiari et al., 2005). Bovine and equine oocytes also display elevated GSH concentrations when matured in the presence of bovine serum albumin (BSA) when compared with fetal calf serum (Luciano et al., 2006; Curnow et al., 2008). Interestingly, serum components may compromise GSH synthesis and/or the known antioxidant actions of BSA may permit elevated stores of GSH in the mature oocyte. Whether supplementation with serum or any of its substitutes may represent a good option for managing the OS status of oocytes during IVM remains unknown, and future studies should consider OS-related effects of serum. As presented above, the cumulus cells are postulated to provide an antioxidant defence to the oocyte (de Matos et al., 1997; Tatimoto et al., 2000; Cetica et al., 2001; Bing et al., 2002; Maedomari et al., 2007). Luciano et al. (2005) also demonstrated the need for cumulus cells at near physiological concentrations of GSH in in-vitro maturing bovine oocytes. Interestingly, cumulus cells cannot simply be added to cultures of denuded oocytes because it is the presence of intact COC that have been proved beneficial to the oocyte (Luciano et al., 2005). This is not surprising given the pivotal roles of oocyte-secreted factors in sustaining adequate cumulus cell function and, in turn, oocyte developmental competence (Hussein et al., 2006). Consequently, it seems logical to recur to cumulus cells (whenever available) as natural protectors and supporters of the in-vitro-matured oocyte. A handful of studies also explored the potential use of somatic cells other than cumulus cells in co-culture systems aimed at supporting oocyte IVM; no unequivocal benefits have been reached to date (Combelles et al., 2005). The advantages of using a co-culture system may be multiple fold; co-culture may on one hand permit a replacement of missing cumulus cells (that is, whenever there is a need to mature denuded oocytes), and on another hand provide a further cellular support to maturing COC (not unlike the natural functions of granulosa cells within the Graafian follicle). Co-cultured somatic cells likely contribute many factors to the oocyte. When it comes to OS, experimentation awaits, but it may be envisaged that supplementation with somatic cells may assist in neutralizing any excessive concentrations of ROS and maintaining a proper balance of pro-/antioxidants in the maturing COC.

**Energy substrates**

Glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation constitute the backbone of cellular metabolism. Metabolism is inherently interlinked with the nuclear and cytoplasmic maturation of the oocyte and ultimately its developmental competence. Energy substrates are required for oocyte maturation, with human and mouse oocytes preferring pyruvate followed by lactate (Biggers et al., 1967; Roberts et al., 2002); other inherent substrate preferences exist in the metabolism of commonly studied mammalian oocytes (Krisher et al., 2007). Three main energy substrates (pyruvate, lactate and glucose) are typically considered in metabolic investigations of the cultured COC along with existing differences between the oocyte and cumulus cell compartments (Krisher et al., 2007; Thompson et al., 2007). The source of metabolites will influence energy production via different metabolic pathways; however, the prospect for concomitant influences on the OS state of COC merits consideration. Dumollard et al. (2007) discovered that, in mouse oocytes, glucose metabolism was not a vital factor in any redox pathways while pyruvate and lactate play important roles in these cells, and demonstrated key relationships between pyruvate, lactate, lactate dehydrogenase and the setting of the ooplasmic redox potential. Cekleniak et al. (2001) studied meiotic maturation in cumulus-free human oocytes in a glucose-free medium (P1) when compared with oocytes matured in standard tissue culture medium (TC199). The group stressed the fact that cumulus-denuded oocytes probably need a specific medium like P1 unlike cumulus-enclosed oocytes for which TC199 and Ham’s F10 are more suitable. The P1 medium may be better tailored to support the physiological and metabolic demands of the oocyte (Cekleniak et al., 2001). This study reflects that increased concentrations of glucose in the medium can be detrimental to oocyte IVM, and conceivably this may be the case because such medium could induce the generation of elevated concentrations of oxygen radicals via glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation. Interestingly, this scenario is supported in the bovine model with elevated concentrations of glucose in the IVM medium compromising developmental competence along with increased ROS and reduced glutathione concentrations within the oocyte (Hashimoto et al., 2000a). Therefore, the OS status of oocytes ought to be considered whenever energy sources are modified during IVM. Interestingly, during preimplantation embryo culture, a ‘quiet’ metabolism was proposed to relate to embryo health (Leese, 2002; Leese et al., 2007). With metabolic rates kept basal would come diminished (or at least controlled) concentrations of pro-oxidants and, thus presumably, embryos of superior quality. Whether a similar rule may apply to in-vitro maturing oocytes is intriguing and particularly relevant to studies on OS.

**Culture environment**

In-vitro-matured oocytes are inevitably exposed to OS due to the generation of ROS originating not only from cellular metabolism but also external influences placed onto the media. A multitude of factors govern the generation of free radicals in the media such as oxygen concentration, light, oocyte handling and generally physicochemical parameters that may alter the overall metabolism of the oocytes themselves.

The O₂ concentration in the female reproductive tract lumen is one-third (3–9%) (Mastroianni and Jones, 1965) of the standard in-vitro concentration (20%). Fischer and Bavister (1993) showed that the oxygen tension in the reproductive tracts of rabbits, hamsters and pigs were less than half of the oxygen
tension present in the atmosphere. In the hamster and rabbit, the oxygen tension decreased significantly during the time of early embryonic development and implantation demonstrating that in-vivo embryo development occurs under low oxygen tension, in contrast to during in-vitro procedures (Fischer and Bavister, 1993). In general, the high oxygen concentrations associated with in-vitro conditions result in increased ROS generation and, in turn, increased OS (Agarwal et al., 2003, 2006a,b). During IVM, several experimental studies demonstrated both beneficial and deleterious effects of high and low oxygen tension. In the mouse, pig and cow, low oxygen tension appears favourable and more physiological for oocyte IVM and the subsequent development of resulting blastocysts (Hashimoto et al., 2000b; Iwamoto et al., 2005; Preis et al., 2007). In contrast, a few studies report opposite results. Park et al. (2005) found that high oxygen tension is beneficial for porcine IVM, causing increased rates of blastocyst formation; furthermore, when IVM and embryo culture were performed under high and low oxygen tension respectively, blastomere numbers were increased. Most recently, Banwell et al. (2007) tested various oxygen concentrations during mouse IVM (followed by embryo culture in 5% oxygen) together with the assessment of a set of outcome measures. The influences of oxygen concentrations appeared complex and dynamic, with no immediate effects on maturation, fertilization and early developmental rates, but a significant decrease in cell numbers for blastocysts arising from oocytes cultured under increasing oxygen concentrations. Nonetheless, implantation and fetal development remained unaffected by oxygen concentrations with the exception of fetal and placental weights. Interestingly, fetal outcomes that seemed compromised with low oxygen concentrations were comparable to those from in-vivo-matured oocytes. The comprehensive study by Banwell et al. (2007) reinforces some of the not-yet-grasped influences of oxygen during IVM. It must also be noted that potential additive effects (either positive or negative) should be considered when comparing IVM studies altering not only oxygen tension but also media composition.

Overall, it is apparent that additional experiments are needed to elucidate the existing controversy as to the exact effects of oxygen on human oocyte maturation. Notably, future studies need to address the oxygen requirements of oocytes specifically during maturation, that is distinctly from subsequent events of fertilization and embryonic development. Indeed, much attention has been placed on the embryo and its oxygen requirements, often at the expense of teasing apart and separating the respective influences of oxygen on the developing embryo versus the maturing oocyte. Unfortunately, laboratories' abilities to test the true quality of human embryos, and thus of the progenitor oocytes, deserve significant improvements. Consequently, the task of assigning with certainty the influential roles of oxygen concentrations on oocyte maturation may not yet be possible. That acknowledged, it is reasonable to envisage that oocytes will mature best in an oxygen tension different from the one required for in-vitro embryo culture, much like the use of sequential culture conditions for embryos themselves. This stems from the distinct environments that oocytes and early embryos develop in vivo. It is thus imperative to define the physiological oxygen tension of the pre-ovulatory antral follicle, precisely at the time when the oocyte undergoes the penultimate events of oocyte maturation.

Also associated with IVM are specific OS sources that inevitably result from the isolation of immature oocytes from the body into an artificial environment. Indeed, IVM entails a significant amount of oocyte handling with drastic changes, from not only differing oxygen tensions but also light conditions. Immature oocytes are suddenly exposed to light while they normally develop in a dark environment. This is relevant to OS since light is known to result in an imbalance of pro- and antioxidants in somatic cells and embryos (Beelheer et al., 1992; Nakayama et al., 1994). Most recently, a relationship was established in a mouse model between a type of light commonly used in the laboratory, increased ROS concentrations and compromised embryonic and fetal development (Takenaka et al., 2007). Taken together, these various lines of evidence show that oocytes are faced with considerable OS-related challenges. Future investigations must focus on the extent to which immature oocytes are prepared and/or adaptable to such stresses.

With respect to preparedness to undertake oocyte maturation, recent studies have examined the use of prematuration meiotic arrest strategies in order to permit oocyte capacitation. A myriad of chemical agents have been tested to date, and many appear to cause reversible arrest of meiosis and increase the maturation capacity either with or without subsequent embryonic development of the oocytes (Saeki et al., 1997; Thomas et al., 2004; Nogueira et al., 2006; Barretto et al., 2007; Vanhoutte et al., 2007). How exactly meiotic inhibitors, alone or in combination with other supplements, enhance the maturation, fertilization capability and/or blastocyst formation rate is not well understood. In this respect, the potential role of these inhibitors through the modulation of OS is tenable, particularly since a prolonged arrest with chemical inhibitors is likely to alter the biochemical state of the oocyte. Of relevance is the demonstration that meiotic inhibition prior to IVM was associated with changes in mRNA polyadenylation and gene expression of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) and Mn-SOD, both of which are oxidative response proteins (Gomez et al., 2004; Lequarre et al., 2004). When compared with controls, lower concentrations of Mn-SOD transcripts were reported upon meiotic arrest of bovine oocytes; after IVM, Mn-SOD concentrations decreased but remained higher in oocytes that were previously arrested when compared with non-arrested oocytes (Gomez et al., 2004). Oocyte concentrations of G6PDH increased after meiotic arrest, but when comparing IVM oocytes, ones that were not arrested expressed more G6PDH in the end (Gomez et al., 2004). In the pig, Romar and Funahashi (2006) reported lower GSH concentrations in oocytes that were meiotically arrested prior to IVM, thereby reflecting again an influence of prematuration arrest on the OS status of the oocyte. Protection against ROS may have become compromised in these arrested oocytes, and consequently oocyte quality appeared suboptimal when compared with untreated gametes (Romar and Funahashi, 2006). Although not yet ascertained, it may be argued that prolonging the period of meiotic arrest under artificial in-vitro conditions (for 24–48 h as tested thus far) and precisely ones that generally augment OS (such as elevated oxygen tension and lack of exogenous antioxidant support) may prove detrimental to oocyte quality. Upon increased OS exposures, arrested oocytes may become irreversibly damaged with perhaps exhaustive defects in cellular and molecular elements and/or premature depletion of the oocyte's antioxidant stores. Conversely, it may be argued that the arrested oocyte has a chance to adapt to in-vitro culture conditions, in turn
permitting the oocyte to withstand IVM better than if allowed to mature immediately after isolation from the intrafollicular milieu. The latter scenario represents a more likely possibility in light of the overall positive influences of meiotic arresters on oocyte quality. Nonetheless, it is conceivable that the use of meiotic inhibitors could be improved further provided the right balance of pro-/antioxidants is targeted and maintained. Therefore, future efforts need to focus on investigating the potential involvement of OS during periods of pre-IVM oocyte capacitation in vitro. It must also be recognized that a complex interplay of multiple mechanisms and factors likely influence the prematuration arrest of oocytes.

**IVM supplements aimed at directly targeting the pro-/antioxidant balance of oocytes**

Under normal conditions, the physiological system possesses an optimum defence of antioxidants, both enzymatic (SOD, CAT, GPx) and non-enzymatic (ascorbic acid, alpha-tocopherol, thiols). As a result, the activity of ROS is kept at a normal balance, so the insult is less. But the in-vitro system may lack or be deficient in such defences and it is this fact, together with the augmented stresses faced in vitro, that lead researchers to study the effects of supplementing the culture medium with various sources of antioxidants.

Antioxidants such as vitamin C (ascorbic acid) assist in the neutralization of free radicals, thereby preventing their oxidizing actions (Nishikimi and Yagi, 1996). Vitamin C also plays a role in the regeneration of vitamin E or alpha-tocopherol; the former is a salient lipid-soluble vitamin and alpha-tocopherol is its most potent form (Schneider, 2005). Importantly, vitamin E prevents oxidative damage such as lipid peroxidation caused by ROS. Both alpha-tocopherol and ascorbic acid are known to act as indispensable antioxidants in intra- as well as extracellular environments and they can block the release of pro-inflammatory factors released as a result of OS (Rodrigo et al., 2007).

A handful of pivotal studies have demonstrated the beneficial influences of antioxidant vitamins during oocyte IVM. An anti-apoptotic role of ascorbic acid was demonstrated in a study wherein isolated murine oocyte–granulosa cell complexes were cultured in a serum-free Waymouth medium with increasing concentrations of ascorbic acid. It was found that an intermediate concentration (0.5 mmol/l) of the vitamin significantly reduced apoptosis in mouse COC (Eppig et al., 2000). Dalvit et al. (2005) evaluated the vitamin E regeneration capability of ascorbic acid along with the role of both antioxidants during oocyte IVM. The investigators allowed the bovine COC to mature in the control medium 199 supplemented with alpha-tocopherol and/or ascorbic acid; they also measured the concentration of alpha-tocopherol in the COC. It was found that the concentration of alpha-tocopherol naturally present in COC decreased by half during IVM but remained constant in the presence of ascorbic acid. COC matured in media supplemented with alpha-tocopherol showed higher concentrations of alpha-tocopherol, and the addition of vitamins C and alpha-tocopherol kept the concentrations of alpha-tocopherol elevated. Interestingly, the use of both antioxidants during IVM was found to significantly decrease the subsequent rate of blastocyst formation (Dalvit et al., 2005). Tao et al. (2004) further investigated the effects of l-ascorbic acid and alpha-tocopherol on the meiotic maturation of porcine oocytes, the cumulus expansion and DNA fragmentation of cumulus cells. Alpha-tocopherol at a concentration of 10 µmol/l and l-ascorbic acid at 250 µmol/l caused a greater proportion of denuded oocytes to progress to MII when compared with control groups. Interestingly, the effect of vitamins on meiotic progression was not observed in oocytes cultured in the presence of cumulus cells, given that COC have high spontaneous rates of GV breakdown and maturation to MII (Tao et al., 2004). Ascorbic acid 2-O-α-glucoside (AA-2G), a stable derivative of ascorbate, at the concentration of 250 µmol/l enhanced the cytoplasmatic maturation of porcine oocytes. Providing a steady and continuous supply of ascorbic acid during IVM thus appears essential in preventing OS and, in turn, permitting adequate developmental competence upon fertilization (Tatemoto et al., 2001). A recent study also demonstrated a beneficial role of vitamin C in protecting MII mouse oocyte spindle structure and chromosomal alignment against an oxidant (hydrogen peroxide)-induced damage (Choi et al., 2007). Together, all of the above studies emphasize the beneficial roles of nonenzymatic vitamin antioxidants when used during IVM at certain concentrations (not too high or too low) and in adequate combinations. Upon addition to IVM media, vitamins C and E also appear to influence oocytes and cumulus cells differentially. With an understanding of the exact balance of vitamins that will best support oocyte maturation, appropriate supplementation with ascorbic acid and alpha-tocopherol promises to have wide application in the optimization of IVM culture media.

Beyond the sole testing of vitamins, Ali et al. (2003) evaluated the combinatorial effects of non-enzymatic as well as enzymatic antioxidant supplementation during bovine IVM with morula and blastocyst development as a final outcome measure. In their study, bovine oocytes were matured, fertilized (under 20% O2) and embryos cultured (under 7% O2) in vitro with or without supplementation with the antioxidants cysteine, N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC), catalase and SOD. Addition of 0.6 mmol/l cysteine with low glucose concentration in the IVM medium resulted in a significant improvement in the rate of morula and blastocyst formation. There was no additive beneficial effect of any of the other extracellular antioxidants used in their study. In contrast, addition of antioxidants during IVF significantly compromised bovine embryonic development (Ali et al., 2003). This study suggests that antioxidant requirements vary during oocyte maturation, fertilization and early embryo development as, presumably, ROS generation may also fluctuate during the various stages. Most recently, antioxidant supplementation with NAC and a NAC derivative during porcine IVM demonstrated the complexity of effects when it comes to any reduction in OS as well as the compensating expression of antioxidant enzymes in the oocyte (Whitaker and Knight, 2008).

Additional studies have examined the potential effects of thiols components on oocyte maturation. A medium containing thiol compounds can protect developing oocytes against oxidative damage. Such protective effect has been demonstrated in mammalian oocytes, and it appears related to the generation of GSH, which itself possesses known free radical scavenging properties and enhances cytoplasmic and nuclear maturation (de Matos and Furnus, 2000; Lubera, 2005; Hossein et al., 2007). For instance, increased concentrations of GSH in oocytes
matured in the presence of cysteamine suggest that the beneficial effects of cysteamine on IVM and subsequent developmental competence after IVF are mediated by GSH. However, cysteamine may not always prove beneficial with even toxic effects at certain doses (Guyader-Joly et al., 1998), and recent efforts tested alternate and improved approaches to modulate intracellular GSH concentrations in the oocyte (Curnow et al., 2008). A thorough account of intracellular modulators of oocyte GSH is beyond the scope of this review given that they were the subjects of previously published studies (Guerin et al., 2001; Lubarda, 2005).

The use and potential benefits of antioxidants during in-vitro culture is still under debate, and a considerable challenge resides in quantifying the exact amounts of pro- and antioxidants that best support the somatic and germ cells of the COC. With the human reproductive system endowed itself with such defences, it becomes obvious to add antioxidants to in-vitro culture media in order to simulate in-vivo conditions. However, the overall gain needs further research. From the sources of oocytes in the body to the physical and chemical properties of the culture environments, there are thus many factors that may influence the OS status of oocytes and, in turn, their quality. Despite a preliminary body of knowledge, much progress remains to be realized in determining the various regulators of OS during IVM. Future efforts should strive towards the development of a medium that sustain the best pro- and antioxidant balance during IVM and thus the formation of best quality oocytes.

Roles of OS during oocyte maturation

As much as a better understanding of the OS status and its regulation during IVM is needed, one must also consider whether and how OS may influence the process of oocyte maturation in vitro. The role of ROS in oocyte maturation has been investigated indirectly by antioxidant supplementations in the media or more directly by oxidative insults with modifications in pro-oxidant concentrations. The outcomes of these studies are quite variable and discordant, and much of this work was presented above in the context of factors influencing the OS status of oocytes during IVM. However, this section will now focus on reports that have started to address (although not yet conclusively) any mechanistic roles for OS in oocyte maturation, especially with respect to key nuclear and cytoplasmic features of the oocyte.

Meiotic progression

Reports to date document conflicting results as to the potential involvement of ROS in either promoting or inhibiting germinal vesicle breakdown. Takami et al. (1999) demonstrated that, in the mouse, cell-permeable antioxidants and lipoxygenase inhibitors with antioxidant effects blocked GV breakdown in COC and denuded oocytes. Also in support of ROS not hindering and even perhaps promoting the resumption of meiosis, the oxidizing agent tertiary-butyl-hydroperoxide (tBH) did not inhibit mouse IVM (Tarin et al., 1996). In contrast, Tamura et al. (2008) showed that elevated OS (with hydrogen peroxide) inhibited oocyte maturation while the free radical scavenger melatonin rescued and protected mouse oocytes from OS-induced meiotic arrest. During porcine IVM, OS (as induced by the hypoxanthine-XOD system) minimally reduced maturation rates to MII; however, chemical inhibition of SOD activity resulted in a further and significant reduction in meiotic progression (Tatemoto et al., 2004). These seemingly disparate results merit further investigation into whether OS play any role in meiotic progression or a very fine balance of pro-/ antioxidants may be needed during IVM. Beyond nuclear events, cytoplasmic maturation also merits consideration. In this respect, SOD inhibition together with induced OS lead to compromised cytoplasmic maturation during porcine IVM, as judged by decreased intracellular GSH content and subsequently diminished rates of cleavage and blastocyst formation (Tatemoto et al., 2004).

Aneuploidy

Oocytes are faced with a relatively high risk of aneuploidy or chromosomal abnormalities with a significant proportion of errors occurring during oocyte maturation, notably during meiosis I (Hassold and Hunt, 2001). Associations between oocyte aneuploidy and several risk factors exist, among which are advanced maternal age, ovariain stimulation and in-vitro culture (Tarin, 1995; Van Blerkom and Davis, 2001; Bean et al., 2002). The exact aetiology for chromosomal errors remains unknown, although multiple factors are likely to influence the process. In their ‘free-radical theory of reproductive ageing’, Tarin et al. (1996) propose that OS can induce aneuploidy in mouse oocytes with evidence stemming from exposures to tBH during IVM. tBH resulted in changes in spindle morphology concomitant with defects in chromosome alignment and a higher incidence of aneuploidy. Tarin et al. (1998) also demonstrated a slightly lowered incidence of aneuploidy in oocytes from mice that were fed antioxidant supplements. In somatic cells, studies point towards perturbations in the cell cycle checkpoint downstream of increased OS and in association with aneuploidy (D’Angiolella et al., 2007). Despite some of the clues on OS influencing chromosomal errors, evidence is awaited for humans, a species highly vulnerable to aneuploidy. When considering oocyte aneuploidy, one cannot ignore the meiotic spindle, the cellular structure permitting proper chromosome alignment and separation during cell division.

Cytoskeletal architecture

Oocyte maturation requires the normal assembly and function of a meiotic spindle, and many studies link modifications in spindle architecture with gamete origins and culture conditions during IVM (Sanfins et al., 2003, 2004; Ibáñez et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006). Among the multiple stresses that can influence spindle integrity is OS. Previous studies highlight the influences of GSH on spindle microtubules not only post maturation in hamster oocytes (Zuelke et al., 1997) but also during oocyte maturation in the bovine model (Curnow et al., 2008). In an in-vitro study, it was observed that OS results in concentration- and time-dependent alterations in both microtubule dynamics and chromosomal alignment in maturing mouse oocytes (Choi et al., 2007). It was further demonstrated that supplementation with the antioxidant vitamin C together with hydrogen peroxide partially reversed the oxidative damage incurred to the meiotic spindle (Choi et al., 2007). Tarin et al. (1996) also showed changes in spindle morphology upon OS exposure of in-vitro
maturing mouse oocytes. A reduction in the oxygen tension used during mouse IVM resulted in subtle yet detectable alterations in spindle morphology together with defects in chromosome alignment (Hu et al., 2001). Importantly, a precedent exists in somatic cells for the sensitivity of the cytoskeleton (both microtubule- and microfilament-based) to OS (Bellomo and Mirabelli, 1992; Dalle-Donne et al., 2001). More recently, increased concentrations of free radicals resulted in differential disruptions of the three cytoskeletal elements present in cultured neurons (Allani et al., 2004). It has been postulated that the redox potential and mitochondrial activity of cells (including the oocytes) can modulate the dynamic properties and integrity of the cytoskeleton (Eichenlaub-Ritter et al., 2004). To begin tackling a mechanistic basis for this, Zhang et al. (2006a) recently showed a reduction in mitochondrial ATP production, notably downstream of OS and concomitantly with defects in mouse oocyte spindles. Permeability transition pores of the mitochondria were further implicated, thereby paving the way for future studies aimed at defining the exact and molecular relationships between OS and the cytoskeleton in the maturing oocyte.

DNA damage, mitochondrial defects, and apoptosis

Lipid peroxides cause damage to DNA by inducing oxidation of bases, primarily guanine by lipid peroxyl and alkoxyl radicals. The breakdown products of lipid hydroperoxides, when covalently bonded with the DNA bases, cause strand breaks. Elevated concentrations of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine, lipoperoxides, and protein carbonyls (another OS measure) prevail in mouse oocytes subject to multiple rounds of ovarian stimulation (Chao et al., 2005). This study further linked repeated ovarian stimulation with induced oxidative DNA damage and mitochondrial DNA mutations in mouse oocytes. Recent reports considered DNA repair in oocytes and embryos, and specifically their ability to withstand OS-induced damage. Immature human oocytes possess transcripts for genes that can repair DNA damage linked to ROS (El Mouattassim et al., 2007; Ménézo et al., 2007), and future investigations may continue unravelling links between redox potential, antioxidants, DNA repair genes and tolerance to damage in the oocyte.

Recent studies have shown that the redox status of the cell, resulting from an accumulation of ROS and a decrease of antioxidant concentrations, is involved in inducing apoptotic cell death (Ott et al., 2007). In rodent ovarian follicles and corpora lutea, antioxidants play a similar role to gonadotrophins in protecting cells from apoptosis in vitro (Tilly and Tilly, 1995; Dharmarajan et al., 1999). Using resting follicles isolated from adult human ovaries, Zhang et al. (2006b) showed that OS can induce apoptosis in the female gamete in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Most recently, Tsai-Turton et al. (2007) demonstrated the existence of a relationship in rat follicles between chemically induced apoptosis and increased ROS together with reduced GSH concentrations. In IVF cycles, elevated proportions of ROS-producing and apoptotic follicular cells were demonstrated concomitantly with a reduced number of retrieved oocytes and compromised implantation rates (Jancar et al., 2007). In porcine maturing oocytes, Tatamoto et al. (2004) demonstrated that OS caused by the hypoxanthine-XOD system together with SOD inhibition resulted in oocyte DNA fragmentation and, thus presumably, apoptosis. Most recently, SOD and GPx enzymes were chemically inhibited during the second half of porcine IVM and enzyme activities, GSH concentrations and degree of DNA fragmentation were monitored (Whitaker and Knight, 2008). Whenever SOD was inhibited, DNA fragmentation increased and elevated GPx and CAT activities were accompanied by reduced DNA fragmentation. Supplementation with the antioxidant NACA (NAC-amide, a derivative of N-acetylcysteine) also diminished the extent of DNA fragmentation (Whitaker and Knight, 2008). One may then speculate that any lack of proper antioxidant defences and/or their premature use due to the production of ROS in the medium may be associated with increased damage to the oocyte DNA or accelerated apoptosis in an unabated manner.

Gene expression

When evaluating the detrimental effects of IVM on oocyte quality, a large emphasis has been placed on spindle defects and chromosomal errors. However, it has become increasingly apparent that a need exists to evaluate cellular effects beyond spindle architecture. With the rise and improved sensitivity of tools to profile gene expression, recent studies have begun to depict a dynamic regulation of gene expression in response to in-vitro culture conditions, not only in the cultured embryo but also the oocyte (Lonergan et al., 2006; Fair et al., 2007). In the efforts aimed at optimizing IVM culture conditions, the expression of antioxidants should be routinely considered. Also any manipulations of the oxidative balance should in turn evaluate broad effects on oocyte gene expression. Indeed, many factors related to OS may influence the expression of genes. For example, diminished oxygen concentrations stimulate the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF), a transcription factor that up- and down-regulates many target genes. In bovine embryos, oxygen can modulate gene expression through several redox-sensitive transcription factors including HIF; nuclear factor kappa B and activator protein-1 (Harvey et al., 2002, 2004). These transcription factors can in turn modulate apoptotic genes, create pro-inflammatory states and affect the redox status of the cell. Extrapolating from emerging evidence in embryos, future studies should focus on how OS may influence gene expression in the maturing oocyte, whether in adaptive, regulatory or detrimental manners. After examining some of the cellular and molecular mechanisms by which OS may influence oocyte maturation, there are a few precedents that point towards potential benefits of pro-oxidants. However, the potential benefits and/or detriments of both pro- and antioxidants remain uncertain during IVM. Only future studies may settle this controversy. Also, given that a multitude of factors are known to influence the maturation and quality of oocytes, OS must be approached as one potential additional modulator of oocyte maturation.

Concluding remarks

The practice of IVM holds great promises for assisted reproduction techniques, and future advances may allow a more common use of it given its cost effectiveness, relatively simple protocol, the prevention of hyperstimulation and other treatment advantages over conventional IVF protocols. It is undeniable that the choice of IVM as a routine tool for infertility treatment remains a real challenge. Among several other issues, the poor successes of the technique may be attributable to the presence of undetected factors or deficiencies in the culture media. In order to design the perfect in-vitro environment for oocyte maturation, it
is natural and logical to aim at either preventing oxidative damage or supporting the proper pro-/antioxidant balance in both cultured cumulus cells and oocytes. Consideration must also be given to the wide set of factors that influence the OS status of oocytes prior to their retrieval from the ovary. Upon IVM, oocytes experience an increase in OS because of their cellular metabolism, the culture environment and the lack of protective antioxidant mechanisms that are naturally present in their intrafollicular habitat. The external environment (e.g. pO$_2$, physicochemical properties) that is associated with the IVM procedure also plays an important role in the generation of OS, in turn potentially positively and/or negatively affecting overall gamete and embryo viability in vitro.

Although the effects of antioxidants on oocyte quality and assisted reproduction outcomes need rigorous investigation, they seem to constitute suitable additives during IVM. Many extra- and intrafollicular factors play a role in vivo, the gamut of which merits further investigation during IVM; it may also be relevant to pay particular attention to their potential mechanistic and/or regulatory link(s) with OS. Follicular biology is complicated and it demands further study in order to obtain good quality oocytes and, ultimately, acceptable pregnancy rates. A daunting challenge is to unravel the perfect culture medium that will simulate the in-vivo milieu, thereby meeting all the developmental requirements of the oocyte. It may be proposed that the proper balances of pro- and antioxidants should be aimed for within not only the maturing oocyte but also in its culture environment. Lastly, future efforts should be placed on understanding not only any mechanistic influences of OS during oocyte maturation but also the existence and roles of compensating and repair mechanisms within the oocyte.
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