



Hands on Training Modules

Participant Evaluation Form

Candidate: ANDREEA MUSTATA Signature: *A. Mustata*
 Address: STR. CERNAUTI, NR 5, BL. B28 SC. 2, APT 92, SECT. 2, BUCURESTI, ROMANIA
 Phone (home/ cell) 40-21-6275346 / 40-727-753691 E-mail: andreea.mustata@gmail.com
 Module: 1A, 1B, 3, 5A, 4 Training period: 10/01/07 - 12/24/07

Personal Course Objectives

- 1: To learn to organize an andrology and an ART laboratory
- 2: To learn to perform semen analysis and preparation and advanced assisted reproduction techniques independently
- 3: Quality control assessment

	Met	Not Met	Partially Met
1:	X		
2:	X		
3:	X		

How much of the course content was new to you (please circle one):

Almost all About 75% About 50% About 25% Almost none

REPRODUCTIVE RESEARCH CENTER
(Hands on training)

Topics covered:

1. ROUTINE SEMEN ANALYSIS
2. ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNIQUES
3. CRYOPRESERVATION
4. SPERM MORPHOLOGY
5. _____
6. _____

Course Evaluation	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A
Facility conducive to learning	X					
Content of syllabus/handout material	X					
Hands on experience	X					
Multimedia presentations			X			
Length of course to contents	X					
Appropriate time for questions	X					
Overall course management	X					

What was the most effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

Hands-on training especially for assisted reproductive techniques was very well organized, in a logical step-by-step manner.

What was the least effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

Lack of clinical aspects -

What could have made this course better?

The possibility of making the clinical rotation at least in the same city, if not in the same clinic.

Additional comments:

Good set up for training, very dedicated trainers, patient and helpful
Excellent ART training

Fertility Laboratory
(Clinical Rotation)

Topics covered:

- | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1. <u>Assisted hatching</u> | 2. <u>Embryo transfer</u> |
| 3. <u>Embryo scoring</u> | 4. <u>Organizing an ART laboratory</u> |
| 5. <u>Embryo culture</u> | 6. _____ |

Course Evaluation	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A
Facility conducive to learning	X					
Content of syllabus/handout material					X	
Hands on experience						-
Multimedia presentations						-
Length of course to contents			X			
Appropriate time for questions		X				
Overall course management				X		

What was the most effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

What was the least effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

The time was too short. Training takes place in another city, which makes attending clinical rotations very difficult.

What could have made this course better?

Additional comments:

Trainers are very helpful and dedicated as well. Very good set-up.