



Cleveland Clinic Foundation
**CENTER FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH IN HUMAN REPRODUCTION, INFERTILITY,
 AND SEXUAL FUNCTION**
 Glickman Urological Institute and Department of Obstetrics-Gynecology

Hands on Training Modules

Participant Evaluation Form

Candidate: DR. HARJOT KAUR CHAHAL
 Module: CUSTOMIZED
 Training period: DEC 19th - 23rd, 2005

Personal Course Objectives

- 1: Training in IVF / Lab set up
/ Semen Analysis / Specimen preparation
- 2: _____
- 3: _____

	Met	Not Met	Partially Met
1:	✓		
2:			
3:			

How much of the course content was new to you (please circle one):

Almost all

About 75%

About 50%

About 25%

Almost none

I. CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION SECTION

Topics covered:

- | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. <u>Routine Semen Analysis</u> | 2. <u>Specimen preparation</u> |
| 3. <u>IVF Lab set up</u> | 4. <u>Course handling</u> |
| 5. <u>Subculture conditions</u> | 6. _____ |

Course Evaluation	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A
Facility conducive to learning	✓					
Content of syllabus/handout material	✓					
Hands on experience	✓					
Multimedia presentations	✓					
Length of course to contents	✓					
Appropriate time for questions	✓					
Overall course management	✓					

What was the most effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

Everybody was all out to answer anything / everything. Excellent way of teaching.

What was the least effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

/ /

What could have made this course better?

Nothing

Additional comments:

It was an opportunity to see & work on the state of the art equipment / IVF lab.

II. UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS SECTION

Topics covered:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. <u>Specimen preparation</u> | 2. <u>Semen Analysis</u> |
| 3. <u>Oocyte handling</u> | 4. <u>IVF Lab. set up.</u> |
| 5. <u>Surveys culture conditions.</u> | 6. _____ |

Course Evaluation	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A
Facility conducive to learning	✓					
Content of syllabus/handout material	✓					
Hands on experience	✓					
Multimedia presentations	✓					
Length of course to contents	✓					
Appropriate time for questions	✓					
Overall course management	✓					

What was the most effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

Excellent way of teaching / delivery the stuff.

What was the least effective aspect(s) of this course? and why?

_____ / _____

What could have made this course better?

Nothing.

Additional comments:

Everything perfect